IWSFG Template for reviewer comments and IWSFG secretariat observation

Document reviewed: **PAS 3**

Due date:2018/03/02

1 Te=Technical, Ge=General, Ed=Editorial

Initial	Starting Line Number (e.g. 17)	Ending Line Number (e.g. 23)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Type of comment[1]	Comments	Proposed change	Observation of the secretariat
Lenzing	141	142	7.1 Slosh-Box Design	Te	Why is the inside dimension of the Slosh-Box different as those of Slosh-Box described in the INDA/EDANA GD3 FG502 (Chapter 4.1)	Explain the size differences of Slosh-Box.	
Lenzing	163	163	7.3 Other equipment	Te	According to our experience use of fine sieve or a strainer will have an impact on the test results. Disintegrated materials agglomerate on the strainer.	Remove line 163	
Lenzing	177	177	8.2. Number of test pieces	Te	Use of 3 specimens from one package of wipes and 2 specimens from the 2nd package of wipes is not equal.	Recommend to use 6 specimens. Slosh-Box with 3 boxes according to the Annex A1.2 requires 2 series of tests with each 3 specimens (one sample in each box).	
Lenzing	189	191	8.3.1 Dry Tissues	Te	Using 2 instead of one sample will influence the results as the starting materila is already smaller. Thus physical disintegration can be expected to be improved.	Starting materials should initially be all of the same size of grammage respectively.	
Lenzing	223	225	10.1. Summary	Te	Slosh-Box criteria were changed significantly (4l/16 rpm after 30 min., use of sieve 25mm)	Please explain the science behind this decision.	
Lenzing	303	303	10.6 Calculation	Te	It is mentioned each article's mass, but in the equation is talking about the total dry mass of 5 specimens.	Please clarify it.	
Lenzing	432	432		Ge	The point 4.4.3. is missing	Please change.	