

IWSFG Template for reviewer comments and IWSFG secretariat observation

Document reviewed: PAS 1

1 Te=Technical, Ge=General, Ed=Editorial

Initial	Starting Line Number (e.g. 17)	Ending Line Number (e.g. 23)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Type of comment ¹	Comments	Proposed change
GHC	16	24		Ge	A limited pool of experts have been used to develop this IWSFG PAS 1. It cannot be considered to be "global consensus" . This paragraph needs to be re-written to reflect this.A lot of the work has been abstracted from the <u>INDA / EDANA Guidelines documents and</u>	
GHC	26	28		Ge	The sentence starting "The group expects - - -" needs to be re-phrased. As written it implies that manufacturers and distributors are not socially responsible or environmentally	Remove or rephrase the statement
GHC	104	106		Te	FOG is not relevant to this PAS	Remove the lines.
GHC	126	131		Ge	Is the note relevant to the PAS? "Criteria for recognition as a flushable product".	Remove lines
GHC	143	143		Te	Delete the word distributor	<i>A manufacturer -may wish to identify as being flushable.</i>
GHC	147	150		Te	Rephrase to clarify what the scope of this document.	<i>Toilet paper is out of scope <u>in</u> this document.</i>
GHC	178	178		Te		Change "should" to "must.
GHC	183	183		te		Change "should" to "must"
GHC	184		Table	Te	It is unclear how the IWSFG views the TAPPI 401 fibre analysis method. Why is the TAPPI method under Environmental and Health Protection, and how is it to be used ?	Clarify position in 7.1.
GHC	192	194		Te	The INDA /EDANA Code of Practice for labeling does not address how a "flushable" product should be labelled.	Remove 6.4.1

